

Metronome-guided Rhythmic Auditory Stimulation with Visual Feedback for Fall Prevention in Elderly: A Randomised Controlled Trial

ABHA KHISTY¹, ZAFAR AZEEM², TUSHAR PALEKAR³

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Elderly individuals over the age of 65 years are vulnerable to falls in the community and at home. Fall prevention strategies help reduce the risk of falls by improving muscular strength and efficiency. Rhythmic Auditory Stimulation (RAS), along with Visual Feedback (VF), enhances the effectiveness of maintaining balance and reduces falls and fear in patients with neurological concerns.

Aim: To determine the effect of RAS along with VF in healthy elderly individuals to prevent falls in familiar environments.

Materials and Methods: This randomised controlled trial was conducted at the Department of Physiotherapy, Dr. DY Patil College of Physiotherapy, Pimpri, Pune, Maharashtra, India, from June to July 2024. A total of 60 samples (n=60) were included in the study. A total of 60 elderly patients were randomly assigned to two groups: Intervention Group (IG) and Control Group (CG). Pre- and post-scoring was obtained on falls-related outcome measures. The IG underwent a 4-week

training protocol, while the CG did not receive any treatment. The Tinetti Performance-oriented Mobility Assessment (POMA) scale, Berg Balance Scale (BBS) and Falls Efficacy Scale (FES) were used as outcome measures. Data analysis was conducted using PEPI-for-Windows (WINPEPI) software, with intergroup and intragroup analyses utilising paired t-tests and unpaired t-tests, respectively.

Results: The mean age of participants included in the study was 70.5±2.5 years. Post-intervention, significant improvement was observed in the scores of the BBS in both the IG and CG. However, the improvement was significantly greater in the IG (p=0.01). Similarly, significant improvements were noted in the FES and Tinetti POMA Scale in the IG (p<0.05) after four weeks of training. In the CG, a non significant improvement was seen in the scores of the Tinetti POMA Scale post-intervention (p=0.22).

Conclusion: The results reflect a significant reduction in the fear of falls and the risk of falls in the elderly population following metronome-guided RAS.

Keywords: Brain injury, Exercise prescription, Healthcare, Public health, Quality, Rehabilitation, Weakness

INTRODUCTION

The elderly are defined as individuals aged 65 years and older, with the age group of 65 to 74 years classified as early elderly and those aged 75 and above categorised as late elderly [1-4]. Older individuals are more susceptible to various systemic problems, including neurological, musculoskeletal, gastrointestinal and cardiorespiratory issues. Falls and imbalances in the elderly represent significant multisystem functional impairments that can lead to severe depression and dependency [5-9]. The fear of falls due to loss of balance is a notable predictor of impairment in the elderly population. Motor skill performance declines as age increases [10-13]. The risk of falling or losing balance in older adults is typically related to a combination of factors, which include fall history, the use of assistive devices, multiple health conditions, muscle weakness, vertigo, hearing disorders, cognitive and sensory deficiencies, orthostatic hypertension, diabetes mellitus and osteoporosis [14-17].

Annually, the fall rate among elderly individuals over 65 years of age is 53% [18-20]. Visual Feedback (VF) has proven to be an effective tool in reducing reaction times, improving acceleration velocity and achieving almost zero deceleration errors in both young and elderly populations [21-24]. To a greater extent, elderly individuals exhibit increased movement duration and endpoint errors in comparison to younger individuals [25,26]. Elderly people with motor deficiencies often have difficulty transitioning between movements. Many elderly individuals struggle to change course and overcome barriers, as the cerebellum is believed to play a role in regulating tone and balance,

which predisposes them to falls. It has been found that the prevalence of falls at home is 87.5%, while falls in other locations account for 12.5%. Given these statistics, it is clear that falls represent a major disability criterion in the elderly population and that interventions are necessary to prevent and mitigate them [7].

Rhythmic Auditory Stimulation (RAS) enhances motor performance. Auditory stimulation, or auditory cueing, has been found to be more beneficial compared to visual or tactile cueing [8]. RAS directly influences the gait kinematics of individuals. The timing mechanism of RAS is effective in promoting the forecasting of motor judgement and gait regularity [9].

There are various modes of treatment available to manage patients at risk of falling. Some of these include the use of wobble boards, Swiss balls, exercises to improve proprioception and training strategies to maintain the center of gravity within the base of support. The combined effects of RAS and VF are recent techniques that have not been adequately explored. Available evidence suggests that RAS has a significant influence on improving functional performance in patients with Parkinson's disease and hemiplegia [6]. On the other hand, VF has strong evidence supporting its usefulness in improving motor networks, simplifying movement re-education and enhancing cognitive perception required to assess depth [7].

The loss of balance or fear of falling accounts for moderate to severe activity limitations. Elderly individuals face a nine-fold greater risk of falling [1]. The risk of falling in older adults over the age of 65 is 33% over the course of one year [3]. Balance is one of the most important fundamental motor activities used by individuals in their daily lives. A

study has shown that RAS has the potential to influence functional performance in patients with Parkinson's disease and hemiplegia [9]. However, there is very little evidence regarding the combined effects of RAS and VF on balance and the prevention of falls in the elderly population [11]. Thus, this study aims to examine the effect of metronome-guided balance training on falls in the elderly using both RAS and VF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present randomised controlled trial was conducted at a tertiary care hospital, specifically in the Department of Physiotherapy, Dr. D.Y. Patil College of Physiotherapy, Pune, Maharashtra, India, from June 2023 to July 2024. The study was initiated after receiving approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC), DYPCPT/ISEC/70/20222. Written informed consent for voluntary participation was obtained from all participants. The assessor who evaluated the patients was blinded.


Inclusion criteria: The study involved elderly individuals diagnosed with a moderate risk of falls, indicated by a FES score of less than 24 [5]. This assessment was made by a physician from the Department of Geriatric Medicine. The participants included were aged 65 to 74 years, had little or no use of walking aids, were able to follow simple commands and could see the screen. Additionally, visually able patients, those without any hearing disorders and individuals capable of understanding and following commands were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria: Patients diagnosed with neurological conditions, musculoskeletal conditions, amputations and other neurodegenerative conditions were excluded from the study.

Sample size calculation: The sample size estimation was based on the effect size of a previously published study by Gonzalez-Hoelling S et al., [12]. The calculated sample size was 60, using WINPEPI software version 11.38. The final sample size obtained was 53 participants. Considering a 10% attrition rate of the study participants, the final total sample size was rounded off to 60.

Study Procedure

A total of 60 patients were divided into two groups through simple random sampling using a computer-generated sequence method. A total of 30 subjects were allocated to the IG [Table/Fig-1], who received balance training using a mirror. They were instructed to perform exercises while looking in the mirror and to execute the movements after listening to the metronome beat, with added RAS provided by metronome beats. The frequency of the metronome was set at four beats per minute [6]. The patients were instructed to perform exercises while listening to the metronome beats. The remaining 30 participants formed the CG. The CG received regular



[Table/Fig-1]: Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) flow diagram.

balance training, which included walking in parallel bars, changes in cadence and Frenkel's exercises. The intervention was administered for four weeks, five days per week, with 30-minute sessions each day. After four weeks, the assessment was repeated using all three outcome measures [Table/Fig-1].

Intervention:

• Week I:

Standing with feet together, using the support of a chair, table, or wall.

Tandem stance with the support of a chair, table, or wall.

Standing on one leg with the support of a chair, table, or wall.

Functional reach in a semi-tandem position with the support of a chair, table, or wall.

Stepping up onto a higher surface with the support of a chair, table, or wall.

• Week II:

Standing with feet together and hands wide apart.

Progressing to a semi-tandem stance.

Standing on one leg with hands on the pelvis.

Functional reach test with feet together.

Stepping up onto a higher surface without support.

• Week III:

Standing with feet together and hands across the chest.

Tandem stance with arms across the chest.

Standing on one leg with hands across the chest.

• Week IV:

Ankle strategy on a wobble board.

Transferring body weight from one leg to another.

Tandem walking with hands raised to 90 degrees.

Outcome measures: A pre- and post-assessment was conducted during the first session and at the end of the fourth week. The assessment was divided into three domains: dynamic balance, static balance and fear of falling.

Dynamic balance was assessed using the Berg Balance Scale (BBS). The capacity (or inability) of a patient to safely balance during a series of specified tasks is objectively evaluated using the BBS. It takes about 20 minutes to complete and consists of 14 items, each of which uses a five-point ordinal scale from 0 to 4, where 0 represents the lowest level of function and 4 represents the highest. The scale does not evaluate gait [18].

Static balance was assessed using the Tinetti Performance-oriented Mobility Assessment (POMA) Scale. This scale evaluates a person's fear of falling as well as their sense of stability and balance when performing daily tasks [1,2]. It is an excellent predictor of a person's risk of falling.

The test consists of two brief sections: one assesses static balancing skills while standing and subsequently sitting, while the other evaluates gait. Compared to other tests, such as the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test, one-leg stand and functional reach tests, it has superior test-retest, discriminative and predictive validity regarding fall risk [3].

In the present study, the patient was instructed to get up and remain upright while seated in an armless chair. The patient was then instructed to sit back down after completing a full 360-degree spin [5]. This was done to assess the patient's equilibrium. To evaluate this, the authors examined several important factors, such as the patient's ability to get in and out of the chair, whether the patient remained upright when standing or sitting and what occurred when the patient's eyes were closed or when the patient felt slight pressure on the sternum [1].

The Tinetti test includes a gait score and a balance score. It uses a 3-point ordinal scale of 0, 1 and 2. Gait is scored out of 12 and balance is scored out of 16, with a total possible score of 28 [5].

Fear of falling was examined using the Falls Efficacy Scale (FES). This scale measures fear of falling and concerns about falling and was developed as part of the Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) project from 2003 to 2006 by Todd et al., It is a 16-item questionnaire useful for researchers and clinicians interested in the fear of falling, with a score ranging from a minimum of 16 (no concern about falling) to a maximum of 64 (severe concern about falling) [18].

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was performed using WINPEPI Software Version 11.38. The Confidence Interval was set at 95% (CI) and the level of significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. A paired t-test was applied for intragroup analysis, while an unpaired t-test was used for intergroup analysis.

RESULTS

The mean age of participants included in the study was 70.5 ± 2.5 years. Out of 60 samples, 32 were males and 28 were females [Table/Fig-2]. The baseline characteristics of the selected patients included a Berg Balance Score (BBS) of 34 ± 2 , a Tinetti POMA score of 16 ± 2.8 and a Falls Efficacy Scale (FES) score of 30 ± 2.5 .

Demographic parameters	Intervention Group (IG)	Control Group (CG)	p-value
Mean age	70.5 ± 2.5 years	71.6 ± 1.5 years	0.01
Gender distribution	Male: 14 Female: 16	Male: 15 Female: 15	0.999

[Table/Fig-2]: Demographic distribution.

In the Intervention Group (IG), the BBS score improved from 37.37 ± 7.015 to 46.67 ± 7.08 , with a p-value of 0.01. In the Control Group (CG), the scores improved from 33.63 ± 7.95 to 36.07 ± 7.84 , with a p-value of 0.02 [Table/Fig-3]. However, in the intergroup analysis, the mean difference in the IG was greater than that in the CG, with a p-value of 0.01. Therefore, there was a statistically significant improvement in scores on the BBS in patients receiving balance training with RAS.

Berg balance scale	Pre-scoring Mean \pm SD	Post-scoring Mean \pm SD	Paired test p-value
Intervention Group (IG)	37.37 ± 7.01	46.67 ± 7.08	0.01
Control Group (CG)	33.63 ± 7.95	36.07 ± 7.84	0.02

[Table/Fig-3]: Intergroup analysis of Berg Balance Scale (BBS) score.

Similarly, there was an increase in the score on the POMA scale from 18.07 ± 4.08 to 27.4 ± 4.03 , with a p-value of 0.03 in the IG. In the CG, there was an improvement; however, it was not statistically significant [Table/Fig-4].

Tinetti-POMA scale	Pre-scoring Mean \pm SD	Post-scoring Mean \pm SD	Paired t-test p-value
Intervention Group (IG)	18.07 ± 4.08	27.4 ± 4.03	0.03
Control Group (CG)	15.53 ± 4.15	16.03 ± 4.74	0.22

[Table/Fig-4]: Intergroup analysis of Tinetti Performance-Oriented Mobility Assessment (POMA) scale score.

On the FES, the score improved in the IG from 28.87 ± 8.9 to 26.93 ± 8.7 , with a p-value of 0.01. In the CG, improvement was seen from 35 ± 7.09 to 27.47 ± 7.291 , with a p-value of 0.02 [Table/Fig-5].

In the intergroup comparison, the IG receiving metronome-guided RAS showed statistically significant improvements in T-POMA and BBS scores compared to the CG ($p < 0.05$). However, the CG showed better results on the FES score than the IG ($p = 0.04$) [Table/Fig-6].

Falls Efficacy Scale (FES)	Pre-scoring Mean \pm SD	Post-scoring Mean \pm SD	Paired t-test p-value
Intervention Group (IG)	28.87 ± 8.997	26.93 ± 8.729	0.01
Control Group (CG)	35 ± 7.091	27.47 ± 7.291	0.02

[Table/Fig-5]: Intergroup analysis of the Falls Efficacy Scale (FES) score.

Outcome measure	Mean difference		p-value
	Intervention Group (IG)	Control Group (CG)	
Berg balance scale score	9.3 ± 2.01	6.01 ± 0.38	0.01
Tinetti Performance-oriented Mobility Assessment (POMA) scale score	9.33 ± 0.22	0.5 ± 0.88	0.02
Falls Efficacy Scale (FES) score	1.94 ± 2.3	7.8 ± 2.1	0.04

[Table/Fig-6]: Intergroup comparison of all outcome measures. Unpaired t-test

DISCUSSION

In the present study, although improvement was observed in both groups after four weeks of treatment, patients receiving metronome-guided RAS balance training showed better outcomes than those undergoing traditional balance training.

According to a study conducted by Seidler-Dobrin RD and Stelmach GE, the incidence of falls in Indian older adults ranges from 14% to 53%, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality [6]. In this study, normal healthy elderly individuals were recruited and screened using three different fall-related outcome measures, exhibiting a range of medium to high fall risk scores before the intervention. The post-intervention score of the BBS showed improvements in both the IG and the CG; however, the IG demonstrated better results. The possible reason for this improvement is that vestibular function (VF) enhances sensory organisation for postural control rather than just strategy enhancement [18]. Additionally, this study considered functional and environmental aspects, such as residential ergonomics, as every subject would be exposed to different environmental challenges. Therefore, the authors recommend that dynamic balance training would be more effective when practiced in an actual home or workplace environment.

The Tinetti-POMA gait and balance subscales are highly predictive of falls and fall-related injuries in community-dwelling elderly individuals and residents of intermediate care facilities. Research conducted by Ganea R et al., on assessing the elderly for fall risk estimation found significant improvements in the Tinetti POMA scale scores [25]. They provided a structured balance training program using a metronome as auditory feedback. The present study is consistent with previous research; although both groups showed improvements on the Tinetti POMA scale, the IG demonstrated statistically significant improvements. This was made possible by the structured exercise program with auditory stimulation [7]. The reason for this improvement is that auditory stimulation controls the temporal variable of the movement, thereby increasing movement control. The fear of falls among healthy elderly individuals improved due to adaptive visual cueing, which enhanced their motor stability. This is related to the repeated facilitation of the archaocerebellum, which has primary links with the vestibular nuclei, resulting in motor improvements [26].

The Falls Efficacy Scale (FES) measures concern regarding the fear of falling in elderly individuals, where a lower score indicates fewer chances of falling and any score greater than 70 indicates a high fear of falling risk. According to a study conducted by Li Z et al., where the FES was used as an outcome measure in a six-month follow-up study, it was revealed that the IG receiving balance training exercises exhibited fewer concerns regarding the fear of falling post the six-month training and follow-up [18]. Similarly, in the present study, the FES significantly reduced in both groups post-intervention. This improvement was attributed to the intensive

training over four weeks, which included five days per week, along with the sensory orientation of the individual toward VF for inputs regarding body sway control and postural instabilities. However, the reduction in the FES score was greater in the CG during the four-week training. This implies that balance training conducted without auditory and vestibular function was also effective in reducing the fear of falling.

Limitation(s)

The time constraints of the study did not allow for follow-up assessments of fall risk using a fall diary after six months. Additionally, the study was not conducted with double blinding, which would have enhanced the inter-rater reliability of the outcome measures.

CONCLUSION(S)

The study concludes that Rhythmic Auditory Stimulation (RAS), using a metronome with Visual Feedback (VF), led to significant improvements in balance and a reduction in the fear of falling among healthy elderly individuals. This controlled trial also effectively demonstrated clinically significant improvements in the maintenance of balance in individuals' familiar environments. Virtual Reality (VR) and enhanced effects, along with group therapy and the additional impact of peers, can be utilised in a similar study. Further research could include an ergonomic assessment of the subjects' environments and interventions could be designed based on those assessments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Orimo H, Ito H, Suzuki T, Araki A, Hosoi T, Sawabe M. Reviewing the definition of "elderly". *Geriatrics & Gerontology International*. 2006;6(3):149-58.
- [2] Dsouza SA, Rajashekar B, Dsouza HS, Kumar KB. Falls in Indian older adults: a barrier to active aging. *Asian J Gerontol Geriatr*. 2014;9(1):01-08.
- [3] Voelcker-Rehage C. Motor-skill learning in older adults- A review of studies on age-related differences. *European Review of Aging and Physical Activity*. 2008;5:05-16.
- [4] Sharif SI, Al-Harbi AB, Al-Shihabi AM, Al-Daour DS, Sharif RS. Falls in the elderly: assessment of prevalence and risk factors. *Pharmacy Practice (Granada)*. 2018;16(3):1206.
- [5] Haaland KY, Harrington DL, Grice JW. Effects of aging on planning and implementing arm movements. *Psychology and Aging*. 1993;8(4):617.
- [6] Seidler-Dobrin RD, Stelmach GE. Persistence in visual feedback control by the elderly. *Experimental Brain Research*. 1998;119:467-74.
- [7] Dhargave P, Sendhilkumar R. Prevalence of risk factors for falls among elderly people living in long-term care homes. *Journal of Clinical Gerontology and Geriatrics*. 2016;7(3):99-103.
- [8] Kadivar Z, Corcos DM, Foto J, Hondzinski JM. Effect of step training and rhythmic auditory stimulation on functional performance in Parkinson patients. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*. 2011;25(7):626-35.
- [9] Hove MJ, Keller PE. Impaired movement timing in neurological disorders: rehabilitation and treatment strategies. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2015;1337(1):111-17.
- [10] Deconinck FJ, Smorenburg AR, Benham A, Ledebt A, Feltham MG, Savelsbergh GJ. Reflections on mirror therapy: A systematic review of the effect of mirror visual feedback on the brain. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*. 2015;29(4):349-61.
- [11] Karabin MJ, Sparto PJ, Rosano C, Redfern MS. Impact of strength and balance on Functional Gait Assessment performance in older adults. *Gait & Posture*. 2022;91:306-11.
- [12] Gonzalez-Hoelling S, Reig-Garcia G, Bertran-Noguer C, Suñer-Soler R. The effect of music-based rhythmic auditory stimulation on balance and functional outcomes after stroke. *InHealthcare*. 2022;10(5):899.
- [13] Cronström A, Cole MH, Chalkley D, Van Andel S, Pepping GJ, Creaby MW. Acute effect of traditional and adaptive metronomes on gait variability in older individuals with a history of falls. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research*. 2022;34(6):1349-56.
- [14] Minino R, Troisi Lopez E, Sorrentino P, Rucco R, Lardone A, Pesoli M, et al. The effects of different frequencies of rhythmic acoustic stimulation on gait stability in healthy elderly individuals: A pilot study. *Scientific Reports*. 2021;11(1):19530.
- [15] Cho H, Kim K. Effects of action observation training with auditory stimulation on static and dynamic balance in chronic stroke patients. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*. 2020;29(5):104775.
- [16] DeBusschere KN. Content Analysis of Literature on Rhythmic Auditory Stimulation (RAS) to Improve Gait in Individuals with Neurologic Disorders. *Front. Hum. Neurosci*. 2022;15(3).
- [17] Osoba MY, Rao AK, Agrawal SK, Lalwani AK. Balance and gait in the elderly: A contemporary review. *Laryngoscope Investigative Otolaryngology*. 2019;4(1):143-53.
- [18] Li Z, Wang XX, Liang YY, Chen SY, Sheng J, Ma SJ. Effects of the visual-feedback-based force platform training with functional electric stimulation on the balance and prevention of falls in older adults: A randomized controlled trial. *Peer J*. 2018;6:e4244.
- [19] Ghai S, Ghai I, Effenberg AO. Effect of rhythmic auditory cueing on aging gait: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Aging and Disease*. 2018;9(5):901.
- [20] Muir SW, Berg K, Chesworth B, Speechley M. Use of the Berg Balance Scale for predicting multiple falls in community-dwelling elderly people: A prospective study. *Physical Therapy*. 2008;88(4):449-59.
- [21] Tinetti ME. Performance-oriented assessment of mobility problems in elderly patients. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 1986;4(2):119-26.
- [22] Tinetti ME, Richman D, Powell L. Falls efficacy as a measure of fear of falling. *Journal of Gerontology*. 1990;45(6):P239-43.
- [23] Rossiter-Fornoff JE, Wolf SL, Wolfson LJ, Buchner DM, FICSIT Group. A cross-sectional validation study of the FICSIT common database static balance measures. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*. 1995;50(6):M291-M297.
- [24] Zwick D, Rochelle A, Choksi A, Domowicz J. Evaluation and treatment of balance in the elderly: A review of the efficacy of the Berg Balance Test and Tai Chi Quan. *Neuro Rehabilitation*. 2000;15(1):49-56.
- [25] Ganea R, Paraschiv-Ionescu A, Büla C, Rochat S, Aminian K. Multi-parametric evaluation of sit-to-stand and stand-to-sit transitions in elderly people. *Medical Engineering & Physics*. 2011;33(9):1086-93.
- [26] Mokashi MG. Holistic approach to neuro physiotherapy evidences and experiences; cerebellar disorders and management; Ahmedabad 380 015; Movewell Media Pvt., Ltd; 2015; pg. No. 306-324.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Physiotherapy, Pune, Maharashtra, India.
2. Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Physiotherapy, Pune, Maharashtra, India.
3. Principal, Department of Physiotherapy, Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Physiotherapy, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Abha Khisty,
Assistant Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Dr. D.Y. Patil College of
Physiotherapy, Pimpri, Pune-411018, Maharashtra, India.
E-mail: abha.khisty@dpu.edu.in

AUTHOR DECLARATION:

- Financial or Other Competing Interests: None
- Was Ethics Committee Approval obtained for this study? Yes
- Was informed consent obtained from the subjects involved in the study? Yes
- For any images presented appropriate consent has been obtained from the subjects. NA

PLAGIARISM CHECKING METHODS: [Jain H et al.]

- Plagiarism X-checker: Oct 29, 2024
- Manual Googling: Dec 27, 2024
- iThenticate Software: Feb 08, 2025 (9%)

ETYMOLOGY: Author Origin

EMENDATIONS: 10

Date of Submission: **Oct 28, 2024**
Date of Peer Review: **Dec 17, 2024**
Date of Acceptance: **Feb 10, 2025**
Date of Publishing: **Mar 01, 2025**